



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/439,555	11/12/1999	HITOSHI YASUDA	B208-1060	1785
26272	7590 12/10/2004		EXAMINER	
COWAN LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN P.C			WILSON, JACQUELINE B	
JOHN J TORRENTE 1133 AVE OF THE AMERICAS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1133 AVE OF THE AMERICAS			2612	
NEW YORK,	NY 10017		DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(
Advisory Action	09/439,555	YASUDA, HITOSHI	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacqueline Wilson	2612	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			`:
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final (on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	Brief must be filed within the ne	riod set forth in	
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		• •
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):	• •
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	,,,	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in	·	rially reducing or sim	onlifying the
issues for appeal; and/or	· Donor form for appear by maio	inany roudoning or one	.p.my.r.g
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	3.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		•
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT ·	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an .
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			• •
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5 and 12-16</u> .		·	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-11 and 17-25</u> .		,	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	•		•••
_	(5)(1 10 1775)1 upoi 110(3)	·	
10. Other:	·		
		•	.
			•

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation "before determining whether a focusing direction of said focus adjusting system is the same or not more than a predetermined number of time..." would require further search and/or consideration..

ANDREW CHRISTENSEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600